Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/549,818	HESKE ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA	3736
All Participants:	Status of Application: o	ompleted first action interview
(1) JEFFREY G. HOEKSTRA.	(3) Ronald Aust.	
(2) Max Hindenburg.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 May 2010	Time: <u>10 a.m.</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic	cant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
n/a		
Claims discussed:		
8-17		
Prior art documents discussed: Heske et al. (DE 202 04 363 & 2005/0203439) & Holcombe et a	al (US 5 368 029)	
, ,		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Applicant and Examiner discussed proposed amendments to the croposed amendments were discussed with respect to the Pre- Action Interview Pilot Program.	ne claims to place the Application	in condition for allowance. The

Part III

🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeffrey G Hoekstra/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)